

20020701.ba v03\_n359.bam.20020701

>From ???@??? Mon Jul 1 00:00:40 2002 -0500  
Message-Id: <200207010500.g6150XAA016682@sco.theporch.com>  
Date: Mon, 1 Jul 2002 00:00:11 CDT  
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3359

BOATANCHORS Digest 3359

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Re: RBL-2 RX  
by "Lawrence R. Ware" <larry@waywardhome.com>

-----  
Message-Id: <3.0.5.32.20020701001248.00f13aa8@pop.earthlink.net>  
Date: Mon, 01 Jul 2002 00:12:48 -0400  
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>  
From: "Lawrence R. Ware" <larry@waywardhome.com>  
Subject: Re: RBL-2 RX  
Cc: boatanchors@sco.theporch.com  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hi Jack!

I'm sure not a guru on the RBL series, but have owned and/or worked on the -2, -3, -4 and -5 versions. They are pretty much the same radio, (minor changes in values for interstage coupling caps, etc.) All are built on the same chassis design, perhaps even from the same source. Copper plated steel, looks like a NC-100A National chassis from the outside. The -3 is also a Wells Gardner unit and the -5 is a real National. Pretty cool old radio, with several stages of TRF amp and a regen detector circuit then some audio stages.

At 02:18 06/30/2002 -0500, you wrote:

>I have found a manual for a RBL-4 RX. What is the difference between the  
>-2 and the -4?  
> I know that the -2 that I have is by National and the offered -4 is by  
>Wells Gardner.  
>Will any of our gurus such as Mr. Donzelli or Dick Dillman care to  
>answer?  
>  
>Jack jackiv@juno.com  
>  
>

# Larry Ware, a National Coil-Catacomb nut.  
# Admirer, Collector, Restorer of National Radio Company  
# receivers and other artifacts.  
# Orlando, Florida  
# larry@waywardhome.com

-----

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3359  
\*\*\*\*\*